



The court has reviewed the M&R, the record, defendants' objections, and plaintiff's response to the objections. As for those portions of the M&R to which defendants made no objection, the court is satisfied that there is no clear error on the face of the record.

The court has reviewed de novo the portions of the M&R to which defendants objected. The court overrules the objections and adopts the conclusions in the M&R [D.E. 576]. Defendants' motion to exclude opinions and testimony of plaintiff's expert Charles A. Daniels [D.E. 445] is DENIED.

SO ORDERED. This 12 day of September 2011.

  
JAMES C. DEVER III  
United States District Judge